

Algebras generated by reciprocals of linear forms

HIROAKI TERAOKA *

*Tokyo Metropolitan University, Mathematics Department
Minami-Ohsawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan*

Abstract

Let Δ be a finite set of nonzero linear forms in several variables with coefficients in a field \mathbf{K} of characteristic zero. Consider the \mathbf{K} -algebra $C(\Delta)$ of rational functions generated by $\{1/\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$. Then the ring $\partial(V)$ of differential operators with constant coefficients naturally acts on $C(\Delta)$. We study the graded $\partial(V)$ -module structure of $C(\Delta)$. We especially find standard systems of minimal generators and a combinatorial formula for the Poincaré series of $C(\Delta)$. Our proofs are based on a theorem by Brion-Vergne [BrV] and results by Orlik-Terao [OrT2].

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 32S22, 13D40, 13N10, 52C35

1 Introduction and main results

Let V be a vector space of dimension ℓ over a field \mathbf{K} of characteristic zero. Let Δ be a finite subset of the dual space V^* of V . We assume that Δ does not contain the zero vector and that no two vectors are proportional throughout this paper. Let $S = S(V^*)$ be the symmetric algebra of V^* . It is regarded as the algebra of polynomial functions on V . Let $S_{(0)}$ be the field of quotients of S , which is the field of rational functions on V .

Definition 1.1. *Let $C(\Delta)$ be the \mathbf{K} -subalgebra of $S_{(0)}$ generated by the set*

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta \right\}.$$

Regard $C(\Delta)$ as a graded \mathbf{K} -algebra with $\deg(1/\alpha) = 1$ for $\alpha \in \Delta$.

Definition 1.2. *Let $\partial(V)$ be the \mathbf{K} -algebra of differential operators with constant coefficients. Agree that the constant multiplications are in $\partial(V)$: $\mathbf{K} \subset \partial(V)$.*

*partially supported by the Grant-in-aid for scientific research (No.1144002), the Ministry of Education, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan

If x_1, \dots, x_ℓ are a basis for V^* , then $\partial(V)$ is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra $\mathbf{K}[\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_\ell]$. Regard $\partial(V)$ as a graded \mathbf{K} -algebra with $\deg(\partial/\partial x_i) = 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq \ell$). It naturally acts on $S_{(0)}$. We regard $C(\Delta)$ as a graded $\partial(V)$ -module. In this paper we study the $\partial(V)$ -module structure of $C(\Delta)$. In particular, we find systems of minimal generators (Theorem 1.3) and a combinatorial formula for the Poincaré (or Hilbert) series $\text{Poin}(C(\Delta), t)$ of $C(\Delta)$ (Theorem 1.4).

In order to present our results we need several definitions. Let $\mathbf{E}_p(\Delta)$ be the set of all p -tuples composed of elements of Δ . Let $\mathbf{E}(\Delta) := \bigcup_{p \geq 0} \mathbf{E}_p(\Delta)$. The union is disjoint. Write $\prod \mathcal{E} := \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p \in S$ when $\mathcal{E} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) \in \mathbf{E}_p(\Delta)$. Then one can write

$$C(\Delta) = \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}(\Delta)} \mathbf{K} \left(\prod \mathcal{E} \right)^{-1}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}^i(\Delta) &= \{ \mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}(\Delta) \mid \mathcal{E} \text{ is linearly independent} \}, \\ \mathbf{E}^d(\Delta) &= \{ \mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}(\Delta) \mid \mathcal{E} \text{ is linearly dependent} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}^d(\Delta)$ if \mathcal{E} contains a repetition. In a special lecture at the Japan Mathematical Society in 1992, K. Aomoto suggested the study of the finite-dimensional graded \mathbf{K} -vector space

$$AO(\Delta) := \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}^i(\Delta)} \mathbf{K} \left(\prod \mathcal{E} \right)^{-1}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{A}(\Delta) = \{ \ker(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Delta \}.$$

Then $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ is a (central) arrangement of hyperplanes [OrT1] in V . K. Aomoto conjectured, when $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}$, that the dimension of $AO(\Delta)$ is equal to the number of connected components of

$$M(\mathcal{A}(\Delta)) := V \setminus \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{A}(\Delta)} H.$$

This conjecture was verified in [OrT2], where explicit \mathbf{K} -bases for $AO(\Delta)$ were constructed. This paper can be considered as a sequel to [OrT2]. (It should be remarked that constructions in [OrT2] were generalized for oriented matroids by R. Cordovil [Cor].) We will prove the following

Theorem 1.3. *Let \mathcal{B} be a \mathbf{K} -basis for $AO(\Delta)$. Let $\partial(V)_+$ denote the maximal ideal of $\partial(V)$ generated by the homogeneous elements of degree one. Then*

- (1) *the set \mathcal{B} is a system of minimal generators for the $\partial(V)$ -module $C(\Delta)$,*
- (2) *$C(\Delta) = \partial(V)_+ C(\Delta) \oplus AO(\Delta)$, and*
- (3) *$\partial(V)_+ C(\Delta) = \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}^d(\Delta)} \mathbf{K} \left(\prod \mathcal{E} \right)^{-1}$. In particular, $\partial(V)_+ C(\Delta)$ is an ideal of $C(\Delta)$.*

Let $\text{Poin}(\mathcal{A}(\Delta), t)$ be the Poincaré polynomial [OrT1, Definition 2.48] of $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$. (It is defined combinatorially and is known to be equal to the Poincaré polynomial of $M(\mathcal{A}(\Delta))$ when $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{C}$ [OrS] [OrT1, Theorem 5.93].) Then we have

Theorem 1.4. *The Poincaré series $\text{Poin}(C(\Delta), t)$ of the graded module $C(\Delta)$ is equal to $\text{Poin}(\mathcal{A}(\Delta), (1 - t)^{-1}t)$.*

In order to prove these theorems we essentially use a theorem by M. Brion and M. Vergne [BrV, Theorem1] and results from [OrT2]. By Theorem 1.4 and the factorization theorem (Theorem 2.4) in [Ter1], we may easily show the following two corollaries:

Corollary 1.5. *If $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ is a free arrangement with exponents (d_1, \dots, d_ℓ) [Ter1] [OrT1, Definitions 4.15, 4.25], then*

$$\text{Poin}(C(\Delta), t) = (1 - t)^{-\ell} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \{1 + (d_i - 1)t\}.$$

Example 1.6. *Let x_1, \dots, x_ℓ be a basis for V^* . Let $\Delta = \{x_i - x_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell\}$. Then $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ is known to be a free arrangement with exponents $(0, 1, \dots, \ell - 1)$ [OrT1, Example 4.32]. So, by Corollary 1.5, we have*

$$\text{Poin}(C(\Delta), t) = (1 - t)^{-\ell+1} (1 + t)(1 + 2t) \dots (1 + (\ell - 2)t).$$

For example, when $\ell=3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Poin}\left(\mathbf{K}\left[\frac{1}{x_1 - x_2}, \frac{1}{x_2 - x_3}, \frac{1}{x_1 - x_3}\right], t\right) &= (1 + t)/(1 - t)^2 \\ &= 1 + 3t + 5t^2 + 7t^3 + 9t^4 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

which can be easily checked by direct computation.

When $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ is the set of reflecting hyperplanes of any (real or complex) reflection group, Corollary 1.5 can be applied because $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ is known to be a free arrangement [Sai1] [Ter2].

Corollary 1.7. *If $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ is generic (i. e., $|\Delta| \geq \ell$ and any ℓ vectors in Δ are linearly independent), then*

$$\text{Poin}(C(\Delta), t) = (1 - t)^{-\ell} \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \binom{|\Delta| - \ell + i - 1}{i} t^i.$$

2 Proofs

In this section we prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. For $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{E}(\Delta)$, let $V(\varepsilon)$ denote the set of common zeros of ε : $V(\varepsilon) = \bigcap_{i=1}^p \ker(\alpha_i)$ when $\varepsilon = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$. Define

$$L = L(\Delta) = \{V(\varepsilon) \mid \varepsilon \in \mathbf{E}(\Delta)\}.$$

Agree that $V(\varepsilon) = V$ if ε is the empty tuple. Introduce a partial order \leq into L by reverse inclusion: $X \leq Y \Leftrightarrow X \supseteq Y$. Then L is equal to the intersection lattice of the arrangement $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ [OrT1, Definition 2.1]. For $X \in L$, define

$$\mathbf{E}_X(\Delta) := \{\varepsilon \in \mathbf{E}(\Delta) \mid V(\varepsilon) = X\}.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{E}(\Delta) = \bigcup_{X \in L} \mathbf{E}_X(\Delta) \quad (\text{disjoint}).$$

Define

$$C_X(\Delta) := \sum_{\varepsilon \in \mathbf{E}_X(\Delta)} \mathbf{K}(\prod \varepsilon)^{-1}.$$

Then $C_X(\Delta)$ is a $\partial(V)$ -submodule of $C(\Delta)$. The following theorem is equivalent to Lemma 3.2 in [OrT2]. Our proof is a rephrasing of the proof there.

Proposition 2.1.

$$C(\Delta) = \bigoplus_{X \in L} C_X(\Delta).$$

Proof. It is obvious that $C(\Delta) = \sum_{X \in L} C_X(\Delta)$. Suppose that $\sum_{X \in L} \phi_X = 0$ with $\phi_X \in C_X(\Delta)$. We will show that $\phi_X = 0$ for all $X \in L$. By taking out the degree p part, we may assume that $\deg \phi_X = p$ for all $X \in L$. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{X \in L \mid \phi_X \neq 0\}$. Suppose \mathcal{S} is not empty. Then there exists a minimal element X_0 in \mathcal{S} (with respect to the partial order by reverse inclusion). Let $X \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{X_0\}$ and write

$$\phi_X = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \mathbf{E}_X(\Delta)} c_\varepsilon (\prod \varepsilon)^{-1}$$

with $c_\varepsilon \in \mathbf{K}$. Let $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{E}_X(\Delta)$. Because of the minimality of X_0 , one has $X_0 \not\subseteq X$. Thus there exists $\alpha_0 \in \varepsilon$ such that $X_0 \not\subseteq \ker(\alpha_0)$. Let $I(X_0)$ be the prime ideal of S generated by the polynomial functions vanishing on X_0 . Then $\alpha_0 \notin I(X_0)$. Thus

$$(\prod \Delta)^p (\prod \varepsilon)^{-1} \in I(X_0)^{p|\Delta_{X_0}| - p + 1},$$

where $\prod \Delta := \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} \alpha$ and $\Delta_{X_0} = \Delta \cap I(X_0)$. Multiply $(\prod \Delta)^p$ to the both sides of

$$\phi_{X_0} = - \sum_{\substack{X \in \mathcal{S} \\ X \neq X_0}} \phi_X$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} (\prod \Delta)^p \phi_{X_0} &= - \sum_{\substack{X \in \mathcal{S} \\ X \neq X_0}} (\prod \Delta)^p \phi_X \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{X \in \mathcal{S} \\ X \neq X_0}} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \mathbf{E}_X(\Delta)} c_\varepsilon (\prod \Delta)^p (\prod \varepsilon)^{-1} \in I(X_0)^{p|\Delta_{X_0}| - p + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\prod \Delta)/(\prod \Delta_{X_0}) \in S \setminus I(X_0)$ and $I(X_0)^{p|\Delta_{X_0}|-p+1}$ is a primary ideal, one has

$$(\prod \Delta_{X_0})^p \phi_{X_0} \in I(X_0)^{p|\Delta_{X_0}|-p+1}.$$

This is a contradiction because

$$\deg(\prod \Delta_{X_0})^p \phi_{X_0} = p|\Delta_{X_0}| - p.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{S} = \phi$. \square

Next we will study the structure of $C_X(\Delta)$ for each $X \in L$. Let $AO_X(\Delta)$ be the \mathbf{K} -subspace of $AO(\Delta)$ generated over \mathbf{K} by

$$\{(\prod \varepsilon)^{-1} \mid \varepsilon \in \mathbf{E}(\Delta)^i \cap \mathbf{E}_X(\Delta)\}.$$

Then

$$AO(\Delta) = \bigoplus_{X \in L} AO_X(\Delta)$$

by Proposition 2.1. Let \mathcal{B}_X be a \mathbf{K} -basis for $AO_X(\Delta)$. Then we have

Proposition 2.2. *The $\partial(V)$ -module $C_X(\Delta)$ can also be regarded as a free $\partial(V/X)$ -module with a basis \mathcal{B}_X . In other words, there exists a natural graded isomorphism*

$$\partial(V/X) \otimes_{\mathbf{K}} AO_X(\Delta) \simeq C_X(\Delta).$$

Proof. First assume that Δ spans V^* and $X = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Then $\mathbf{E}(\Delta)^i \cap \mathbf{E}(\Delta)_X$ is equal to the set of \mathbf{K} -bases for V^* which are contained in Δ . Thus $AO_X(\Delta)$ is generated over \mathbf{K} by

$$\{(\prod \varepsilon)^{-1} \mid \varepsilon \in \mathbf{E}_\ell(\Delta) \text{ is a basis for } V\}.$$

Similarly C_X is spanned over \mathbf{K} by

$$\{(\prod \varepsilon)^{-1} \mid \varepsilon \in \mathbf{E}(\Delta) \text{ spans } V\}.$$

Then Theorem 1 of [BrV] is exactly the desired result. Next let $X \in L$ and $\overline{V} = V/X$. Regard the dual vector space \overline{V}^* as a subspace of V^* and the symmetric algebra $\overline{S} := S(\overline{V}^*)$ of \overline{V}^* as a subring of S . Then $\Delta_X := I(X) \cap \Delta$ is a subset of \overline{V}^* and Δ_X spans \overline{V}^* . Consider $AO(\Delta_X)$ and $C(\Delta_X)$ which are both contained in $\overline{S}_{(0)}$. Note that $C_X(\Delta)$ can be regarded as a $\partial(V/X)$ -module because $\partial(X)$ annihilates $C_X(\Delta)$. Denote the zero vector of \overline{V} by \overline{X} . Then it is not difficult to see that

$$C_{\overline{X}}(\Delta_X) \simeq C_X(\Delta) \quad (\text{as } \partial(\overline{V})\text{-modules}),$$

$$AO_{\overline{X}}(\Delta_X) \simeq AO_X(\Delta) \quad (\text{as } \mathbf{K}\text{-vector spaces}).$$

Since there exists a natural graded isomorphism

$$C_{\overline{X}}(\Delta_X) \simeq \partial(\overline{V}) \otimes_{\mathbf{K}} AO_{\overline{X}}(\Delta_X),$$

one has

$$C_X(\Delta) \simeq \partial(V/X) \otimes_{\mathbf{K}} AO_X(\Delta).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Proposition 2.2, $C_X(\Delta)$ is generated over $\partial(V)$ by $AO_X(\Delta)$. Since

$$C(\Delta) = \bigoplus_{X \in L} C_X(\Delta) \quad (\text{Proposition 2.1}),$$

and

$$AO(\Delta) = \bigoplus_{X \in L} AO_X(\Delta),$$

the $\partial(V)$ -module $C(\Delta)$ is generated by $AO(\Delta)$. So \mathcal{B} generates $C(\Delta)$ over $\partial(V)$. Define

$$J(\Delta) := \sum_{\varepsilon \in \mathbf{E}^d(\Delta)} \mathbf{K}(\prod \varepsilon)^{-1},$$

which is an ideal of $C(\Delta)$. Then it is known by [OrT2, Theorem 4.2] that

$$C(\Delta) = J(\Delta) \oplus AO(\Delta) \quad (\text{as } \mathbf{K}\text{-vector spaces}).$$

It is obvious to see that

$$\partial(V)_+ C(\Delta) \subseteq J(\Delta).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$C(\Delta) = \partial(V)AO(\Delta) = \partial(V)_+ AO(\Delta) + AO(\Delta) = \partial(V)_+ C(\Delta) + AO(\Delta).$$

Combining these, we have (2) and (3) at the same time. By (2), we know that \mathcal{B} minimally generates $C(\Delta)$ over $\partial(V)$, which is (1). □

If $M = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} M_p$ is a graded vector space with $\dim M_p < +\infty$ ($p \geq 0$), we let

$$\text{Poin}(M, t) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (\dim M_p) t^p$$

be its **Poincaré** (or **Hilbert**) **series**. Recall [OrT1, 2.42] the (one variable) Möbius function $\mu : L(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ defined by $\mu(V) = 1$ and for $X > V$ by $\sum_{Y \leq X} \mu(Y) = 0$. Then the **Poincaré polynomial** $\text{Poin}(\mathcal{A}(\Delta), t)$ of the arrangement $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ is defined by

$$\text{Poin}(\mathcal{A}(\Delta), t) = \sum_{X \in L} \mu(X) (-t)^{\text{codim } X}.$$

Proposition 2.3. ([OrT2, Theorem 4.3]) *For $X \in L$ we have*

$$\dim AO_X(\Delta) = (-1)^{\text{codim } X} \mu(X) \text{ and } \text{Poin}(AO(\Delta), t) = \text{Poin}(\mathcal{A}(\Delta), t).$$

Recall $C(\Delta)$ is a graded $\partial(V)$ -module. Since $C(\Delta)$ is infinite dimensional, $\text{Poin}(C(\Delta), t)$ is a formal power series. We now prove Theorem 1.4 which gives a combinatorial formula for $\text{Poin}(C(\Delta), t)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We have

$$\text{Poin}(C(\Delta), t) = \sum_{X \in L} \text{Poin}(C_X(\Delta), t) = \sum_{X \in L} \text{Poin}(\partial(V/X), t) \text{Poin}(AO_X(\Delta), t)$$

by Propositions 2.1 and 2.2. Since the \mathbf{K} -algebra $\partial(V/X)$ is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra with $\text{codim } X$ variables, we have

$$\text{Poin}(C(\Delta), t) = \sum_{X \in L} (1-t)^{-\text{codim } X} \text{Poin}(AO_X(\Delta), t).$$

By Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\text{Poin}(AO_X(\Delta), t) = (-1)^{\text{codim } X} \mu(X) t^{\text{codim } X}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Poin}(C(\Delta), t) &= \sum_{X \in L} (-1)^{\text{codim } X} \mu(X) \left(\frac{t}{1-t} \right)^{\text{codim } X} \\ &= \text{Poin}(\mathcal{A}(\Delta), (1-t)^{-1}t). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Let Der be the S -module of derivations :

$$\text{Der} = \{ \theta \mid \theta : S \rightarrow S \text{ is a } \mathbf{K}\text{-linear derivations} \}.$$

Then Der is naturally isomorphic to $S \otimes_{\mathbf{K}} V$. Define

$$D(\Delta) = \{ \theta \in \text{Der} \mid \theta(\alpha) \in \alpha S \text{ for any } \alpha \in \Delta \},$$

which is naturally an S -submodule of Der . We say that the arrangement $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ is **free** if $D(\Delta)$ is a free S -module [OrT1, Definition 4.15]. An element $\theta \in D(\Delta)$ is said to be **homogeneous of degree** p if

$$\theta(x) \in S_p \text{ for all } x \in V^*.$$

When $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ is a free arrangement, let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_\ell$ be a homogeneous basis for $D(\Delta)$. The ℓ nonnegative integers $\deg \theta_1, \dots, \deg \theta_\ell$ are called the **exponents** of $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$. Then one has

Proposition 2.4. (Factorization Theorem [Ter1], [OrT1, Theorem 4.137])
If $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ is a free arrangement with exponents d_1, \dots, d_ℓ , then

$$\text{Poin}(A(\Delta), t) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (1 + d_i t).$$

By Theorem 1.4 and Proposition 2.4, we immediately have Corollary 1.5.

The arrangement $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ is **generic** if $|\Delta| \geq \ell$ and any ℓ vectors in Δ are linearly independent. In this case, it is easy to see that [OrT1, Lemma 5.122]

$$\text{Poin}(\mathcal{A}(\Delta), t) = (1+t) \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \binom{|\Delta|-1}{i} t^i.$$

Proof of Corollary 1.7. By Theorem 1.4, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Poin}(C(\Delta), t) &= \left(1 + \frac{t}{1-t}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \binom{|\Delta|-1}{i} \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^i \\ &= (1-t)^{-\ell} \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} (1-t)^{\ell-i-1} \binom{|\Delta|-1}{i} t^i \\ &= (1-t)^{-\ell} \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \binom{|\Delta|-1}{i} t^i \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-i-1} \binom{\ell-i-1}{j} (-1)^j t^j \\ &= (1-t)^{-\ell} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} t^k \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{|\Delta|-1}{k-j} \binom{\ell-k+j-1}{j}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{|\Delta|-1}{k-j} \binom{\ell-k+j-1}{j} = \binom{|\Delta|-\ell+k-1}{k}$$

by equating the coefficients of x^k in $(1+x)^{|\Delta|-\ell+k-1}$ and $(1+x)^{|\Delta|-1}(1+x)^{-(\ell-k)}$. This proves the assertion. \square

We now consider the nbc (=no broken circuit) bases [Bjo1] [Bjo2] [BJZ] [JaT] [OrT2, p.72]. Suppose that Δ is linearly ordered: $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. Let $X \in L$ with $\text{codim } X = p$. Define

$$\mathbf{nbc}_X(\Delta) := \{\varepsilon \in \mathbf{E}_X(\Delta) \mid \varepsilon = (\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_p}), i_1 < \dots < i_p, \text{ contains no broken circuits}\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_X = \{(\prod \varepsilon)^{-1} \mid \varepsilon \in \mathbf{nbc}_X(\Delta)\}$ for $X \in L$. Then we have

Proposition 2.5. ([OrT2, Theorem 5.2]) *Let $X \in L$. The set \mathcal{B}_X is a \mathbf{K} -basis for $AO_X(\Delta)$.*

Thanks to Propositions 2.1, 2.2 and 2.5 we easily have

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{X \in L} \mathcal{B}_X = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m\}$. Write $\text{supp}(\phi_i) = X$ if $\phi_i \in \mathcal{B}_X$. Then, for any $\phi \in C(\Delta)$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, there uniquely exists $\theta_j \in \partial(V/\text{supp}(\phi_j))$ such that*

$$\phi = \sum_{j=1}^m \theta_j(\phi_j).$$

Remark 2.7. Suppose that Δ spans V^* and that $AO_{\{\mathbf{0}\}}(\Delta) = \sum_{j=1}^q \mathbf{K}\phi_j$, where $q = |\mu(\{\mathbf{0}\})|$. Then the mapping

$$\phi \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_j^{(0)}(\phi_j) \in AO_{\{\mathbf{0}\}}(\Delta)$$

is the restriction to $C(\Delta)$ of the Jeffrey-Kirwan residue [BrV, Definition 6] [Sze]. Here $\theta_j^{(0)}$ is the degree zero part of θ_j ($j = 1, \dots, q$).

References

- [Bjo1] Björner, A.: On the homology of geometric lattices. *Algebra Universalis*, **14** (1982) 107–128
- [Bjo2] Björner, A.: Homology and shellability of matroids and geometric lattices. Cambridge University, (1992) 226–283
- [BjZ] Björner, A., Ziegler, G.: Broken circuit complexes: Factorizations and generalizations. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **51** (1991), 96–126
- [BrV] Brion, M., Vergne, M.: Arrangement of hyperplanes I. Rational functions and Jeffrey-Kirwan residue. *Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.*, **32** (1999) 715–741
- [Cor] Cordovil, R.: A commutative algebra for oriented matroids. preprint, 2000
- [JaT] Jambu, M., Terao, H.: Arrangements of hyperplanes and broken-circuits. *Contemporary Math.* 90, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1989, 147–162
- [OrS] Orlik, P., Solomon, L.: *Combinatorics and topology of complements of hyperplanes*. *Inventiones math.* **56** (1980), 167–189
- [OrT1] Orlik, P., Terao, H.: *Arrangements of Hyperplanes*. Grundlehren der Math. Wiss. **300**, Springer Verlag, 1992
- [OrT2] Orlik, P., Terao, H.: Commutative algebras for arrangements, *Nagoya J. Math.*, **134** (1994) 65 – 73
- [Sai1] Saito, K.: On the uniformization of complements of discriminant loci. In: *Conference Notes*. Amer. Math. Soc. Summer Institute, Williamstown, 1975
- [Sze] Szenes, A.: Iterated residues and multiple Bernoulli polynomials. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **1998**, 937–956
- [Ter1] Terao, H.: Generalized exponents of a free arrangement of hyperplanes and Shepherd-Todd-Brieskorn formula. *Inventiones math.* 63, no.1, 159–179 (1981).

- [Ter2] Terao, H.: Free arrangements of hyperplanes and unitary reflection groups. Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A **56** (1980) 389–392